- 1		
1	JAMES C. YOON (State Bar No. 177155)	Jamie L. Lucia (SBN 246163)
2	jyoon@wsgr.com RYAN R. SMITH (State Bar No. 229323)	jlucia@steptoe.com STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
3	rsmith@wsgr.com	One Market Plaza
	ALBERT SHIH (State Bar No. 251726) ashih@wsgr.com	Spear Tower, Suite 3900 San Francisco, CA 94105
4	CHRISTOPHER MAYS (State Bar No. 266510)	Telephone: (415) 365-6711
5	cmays@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	Facsimile: (415) 365-6681
6	PC	John L. Abramic (pro hac vice)
7	650 Page Mill Road	Katherine H. Johnson (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Anna M. Targowska (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
8	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300	Daniel F. Gelwicks (pro hac vice)
	Facsimile: (650) 493-6811	jabramic@steptoe.com kjohnson@steptoe.com
9	LUCY YEN, State Bar No. 224559	atargowska@steptoe.com
10	lyen@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	dgelwicks@steptoe.com STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
11	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor	227 West Monroe, Suite 4700
12	New York, New York 10019-6022 Telephone: (212) 999-5800	Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 577-1300
13	Facsimile: (212) 999-5899	Facsimile: (312) 577-1370
14	CELINE LIU (State Bar No. 268990)	John M. Caracappa (pro hac vice)
	celine.liu@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	Stanley Kuo (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jcaracap@steptoe.com
15	1700 K Street NW, Fifth Floor	skuo@steptoe.com
16	Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 791-8800	STEPTOE & JOHNSON, LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
17	Facsimile: (650) 493-6811	Washington, D.C. 20036
18	Assessment for Divinish	Telephone: (202) 429-3000 Facsimile: (202) 429-3902
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD.	Attorneys for Defendants Chilisin Electronics
20		Corp. and Chilisin America Ltd.
21	IN THE UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
22	OAKLAND D	IVISION
23	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD.,	CASE NO.: 4:18-cv-00939-PJH
24	Plaintiff,	JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT
25	v.	Trial Date: August 23, 2021
26	Chilisin ELECTRONICS CORP.,	Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor
27	Chilisin AMERICA LTD.,	Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
	Defendants.	
28		

Pursuant to the Court's October 15, 2020 Case Management and Pretrial Order (Dkt. 175), Plaintiff Cyntec Company, Ltd. ("Plaintiff" or "Cyntec") and Defendants Chilisin Electronics Corp. and Chilisin America Ltd. (collectively, "Defendants" or "Chilisin") respectfully submit this Joint Pretrial Statement.

I. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE CLAIMS AND DEFENSES WHICH REMAIN TO BE DECIDED

A. Cyntec's Position

This is a patent infringement action between Plaintiff Cyntec and Defendants Chilisin. Cyntec alleges that Chilisin willfully infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 8,922,312 ("the '312 Patent"), 9,481,037 ("the '037 Patent"), and 9,117,580 ("the '580 Patent") (collectively, the "Asserted Patents") under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c). Cyntec alleges that Chilisin infringes literally and under the Doctrine of Equivalents ("DOE"). Cyntec alleges that certain electronic choke devices that Chilisin makes, uses, sells, offers to sell, and/or imports into the United States infringe one or more claims of the Asserted Patents. The list of accused products for each Asserted Patent is attached hereto as Appendix A and will be collectively referred to herein as the "Accused Products." Cyntec alleges that the Accused Products infringe the following claims of the Asserted Patents (the "Asserted Claims"):

Asserted Patent	Asserted Claims
'312 Patent	1, 2, 5, 8, 10, and 18
'037 Patent	9, 12, and 20
'580 Patent	2, 3, and 5

B. Chilisin's Position

Chilisin denies Cyntec's allegations of infringement and contends that Cyntec cannot meet its burden of proving that each and every claim limitation is met, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents and that Cyntec cannot meet its burden to establish infringement in the United States. For Cyntec's indirect infringement claims, Chilisin contends that Cyntec cannot meet its burden to establish any act of indirect infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 (b) or (c) and that Cyntec cannot meet its burden to establish any underlying act of direct infringement

1

4

6

7

5

8

10 11

1213

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

¹ Chilisin produced updated sales information for its Accused Products in March 2021 for sales through 2020 Q4. Cyntec requests that Chilisin provide its updated sales data for sales of Accused Products through 2021 Q2 at least one month before trial begins.

that would support any indirect infringement claim. Chilisin further contends that because Cyntec does not have any evidence of willful infringement, Cyntec will not be able to establish willful infringement of any of the asserted patents. Chilisin also contends that the asserted patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and/or 112.

II. DETAILED STATEMENT OF ALL RELIEF SOUGHT, ITEMIZING ALL ELEMENTS OF DAMAGES CLAIMED

A. Cyntec's Position

As set forth in Cyntec's Complaint and its Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures, Cyntec seeks both monetary and equitable relief. Cyntec's damages expert has calculated damages through September 2019 of \$1 million.¹ Cyntec is also seeking an accounting past damages for infringement up to the date of the payment, along with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. Cyntec is also seeking injunctive relief to stop any future infringement. To the extent that injunctive relief is not available, Cyntec is seeking an ongoing royalty for such future infringement. Cyntec's Complaint identifies the following points of relief:

- An entry of judgment that Chilisin is willfully infringing the Asserted Patents;
- An injunction to stop Chilisin and those in privity with Chilisin from infringing the Asserted Patents;
- An award of damages in the form of lost profits and a reasonable royalty;
- A finding that the case is exceptional;
- An award of Cyntec's costs and reasonable attorney's fees permitted under 35
 U.S.C. § 285;
- An accounting of Chilisin's infringing sales and revenues, along with prejudgment and post-judgment interest from the first date of infringement to the present; and,
- Any further relief that the Court may deem proper and just.

Cyntec denies that any claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid, void, or unenforceable. Similarly, Cyntec denies that either the '312 or the '037 Patents are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct. Cyntec also denies that Chilisin is entitled to attorney fees, costs, or any other relief.

B. Chilisin's Position

Chilisin disagrees that Cyntec is entitled to any of the relief it seeks and Chilisin instead seeks the following relief:

- An entry of judgment that Chilisin does not infringe and has not infringed, either individually or jointly, directly or indirectly, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, any claims of the Asserted Patents;
- An entry of judgment that the Asserted Patents are invalid, void, and unenforceable;
- An entry of judgment that the '312 and '037 patents are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct;
- An award of reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- An award of costs of suit; and
- Any other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

III. STATEMENT OF ALL RELEVANT UNDISPUTED FACTS TO WHICH THE PARTIES WILL STIPULATE FOR INCORPORATION INTO THE TRIAL RECORD WITHOUT THE NECESSITY OF SUPPORTING TESTIMONY OR EXHIBITS

- Plaintiff Cyntec is a Taiwanese corporation with its principal place of business at 2
 R&D 2nd Road, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan.
- Defendant Chilisin Electronics Corp. is a Taiwanese corporation having a principal place of business at No. 29, Lane 301, Tehhsin Road, Hosin, Hukou Hsinchu 303, Taiwan.
- 3. Defendant Chilisin America Ltd. is a California company having a principal place of business at 2880 Zanker Road, Suite 203, San Jose, CA 95134.
- 4. U.S. Patent No. 8,922,312 is entitled "Electronic Device and Manufacturing Method Thereof," issued December 30, 2014, and is assigned to Cyntec. Wen-Hsiung Liao,

8

9

6

7

1011

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

- Roger Hsieh, Hideo Ikuta, and Yueh-Lang Chen are the named inventors on this patent.
- 5. U.S. Patent No. 9,481,037 is entitled "Electronic Device and Manufacturing Method Thereof," issued November 1, 2016, and is assigned to Cyntec. Wen-Hsiung Liao, Roger Hsieh, Hideo Ikuta, and Yueh-Lang Chen are the named inventors on this patent.
- 6. U.S. Patent No. 9,117,580 is entitled "Choke," issued August 25, 2015, and is assigned to Cyntec. Tsung-Chan Wu, Roger Hsieh, Yi-Min Huang, Lan-Chin Kuo, and Chia-Hui Lai are the named inventors on this patent.
- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 8. On December 14, 2017, Cyntec sent Chilisin a letter concerning the Asserted Patents.
- 9. Chilisin received Cyntec's December 14, 2017 letter on or about December 17, 2017.
- 10. Cyntec and Chilisin are competitors. Both Cyntec and Chilisin sell chokes.
- 11. The Accused Products are chokes.

IV. STATEMENT OF ALL RELEVANT DISPUTED FACTS WHICH REMAIN TO BE DECIDED

- 1. Whether Chilisin has directly infringed any Asserted Claim literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 2. Whether Chilisin has induced infringement of any Asserted Claim literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 3. Whether Chilisin has contributed to another's infringement of any Asserted Claim literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 4. Whether Chilisin's infringement was willful.
- 5. Whether Cyntec is entitled to damages for Chilisin's infringement of the Asserted Claims and, if so, the amount
- 6. Whether Cyntec is entitled to pre- and post-judgment interest.
- 7. Whether Cyntec is entitled to enhanced damages and, if so, the amount.
- 8. Whether Cyntec is entitled to its fees and costs and, if so, the amount.
- 9. Whether Cyntec is entitled to reasonable attorney fees and, if so, the amount.

28

26

27

	1	
	•	

3

4

5

6 7

8

1011

1213

14

16

15

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

2425

26

27

- 10. Whether Cyntec is entitled to a permanent injunction.
- 11. Whether the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid as anticipated and/or obvious.
- 12. Whether the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid as indefinite.
- 13. Whether the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid for lack of enablement.
- 14. Whether Chilisin is entitled to its fees and costs and, if so, the amount.
- 15. Whether Chilisin is entitled to reasonable attorney fees and, if so, the amount.

V. STATEMENT OF STIPULATIONS REQUESTED OR PROPOSED

- 1. The parties stipulate to the Undisputed Facts set forth above so that they need not be proven separately at trial.
- The parties jointly request that the Court show the jury the latest version of the Federal Judicial Center video entitled "The Patent Process: An Overview for Jurors" (Nov. 22, 2013).
- 3. All documents produced by the parties in this case are deemed authentic under the Federal Rules of Evidence for purposes of this case, unless there is a specific objection to authenticity.
- 4. A certified translation of a foreign document is admissible to the same extent as the underlying foreign document, absent a specific objection regarding the reliability of the translation itself.
- 5. So that witnesses need only be called to the stand to testify once during the trial, the parties propose that the parties' respective fact witnesses may each be cross-examined beyond the scope of their respective direct examination testimony. The parties further stipulate that should a party decide not to call a witness live at trial, the opposing party shall have the opportunity to utilize deposition testimony from that witness, if they so choose and as permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence.

28

- The parties have stipulated to a modified procedure for identifying exhibits for use during direct examination with a witness the party intends to call two calendar days before the witness testifies, and objections thereto the following day. Specifically, the parties have agreed as follows: "(1) for all exhibits that a party seeks to use during direct examination with a witness the party intends to call, the party will identify those exhibits by 7 p.m. two calendar days before the witness testifies and identify the witness's name at the same time (e.g., for a witness taking the stand on Wednesday, exhibits would be identified on Monday at 7 p.m. Pacific); the opposing party will submit its objections at 7 p.m. the day before the witness testifies; and the parties will meet and confer that day regarding those objections; the parties will attempt to raise unresolved objections with the Court the morning of the witness's testimony, if needed; (2) for any demonstratives and illustrative aids that a party seeks to use during direct examination with a witness the party intends to call, the party will identify those demonstratives and illustrative aids at 7 p.m. the night before the witness testifies; (3) inclusion of a document on one party's exhibit list does not waive any objections that party may have to use of that document as an exhibit at trial; and (4) documents produced in discovery by the parties are deemed authentic, unless there is a specific, legitimate reason to object to authenticity."
- 7. The parties have agreed to a modified procedure for resolving objections to opening statements. Specifically, the parties agreed as follows: "Each party will identify any objected-to exhibits that the party seeks to reference in its opening slides and demonstratives by noon the day before and the parties will then meet and confer to discuss the intended use of any objected-to exhibits in an effort to resolve those objections. Opening slides will not be exchanged in advance of the parties' opening statements."
- 8. The parties have agreed to a modified schedule for identifying deposition designations it intends to read or play two calendar days before offering those designations, and objections thereto the following day. Specifically, the parties

27 28

agreed as follows: "Each party will identify the deposition designations it seeks to read or play at 8 a.m. two calendar days before offering those designations into evidence; the opposing party will serve its objections to those designations and confirm which counter designations it is still asserting at 8 a.m. the following day; the parties will meet and confer that day to attempt to resolve the objections and any objections to the counter designations; and then the parties will attempt to raise unresolved objections with the Court the morning that the designation will be read or played to the jury, if needed."

- 9. The parties agree that summaries of financial information prepared by the parties' respective damages experts (the summary exhibits attached to the March 27, 2020 expert report of Bryan M. Van Uden and May 12, 2020 expert report of Richard F. Bero) are admissible at trial pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 1006, and without any need for the underlying documents or data being admitted in evidence, provided that (1) this stipulation will not be argued to mean that a party agrees that any of the information in said summary exhibits is correct, and (2) any underlying or other data and documents relevant to cross or re-direct examination of the damages experts may be so used and offered for admission in evidence.
- 10. With the Court's permission, the parties agree that laptop computers, projectors, several cords, connections and demonstrative exhibit boards be allowed in the courtroom throughout the course of the trial.

BRIEF STATEMENT OF DISPUTED POINTS OF LAW CONCERNING VI. LIABILITY AND RELIEF

Cyntec's Position Α.

- 1. Whether Chilisin infringes, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, and 18 of the '312 Patent under 35 U.SC. § 271(a), (b), and (c);
- 2. Whether Chilisin infringes, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, Claims 9, 12, and 20 of the '037 Patent under 35 U.SC. § 271(a), (b), and (c);
- Whether Chilisin infringes, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, Claims 2, 3, and 5 of the '580 Patent under 35 U.SC. § 271(a), (b), and (c);

11

10

12 13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

- The amount of damages owed, including any enhanced damages under 35 U.S.C.
 § 284.
- 5. Whether Cyntec is entitled to lost profits damages, reasonable royalty damages, or a combination of both (and the amount of such damages including pre-judgment and post-judgment interest).
- 6. Whether Cyntec is entitled to a judgment that Chilisin has willfully infringed any Asserted Claim.
- 7. Whether, Cyntec is entitled to a judgment and order that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding Cyntec its costs, enhanced damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 8. Whether Cyntec is entitled to a permanent injunction for Chilisin's infringement.
- 9. Whether Cyntec is entitled to a judgment and order requiring Chilsin to give an accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, together with post-judgment and prejudgment interest from the first date of infringement for the Asserted Patents.
- 10. Cyntec's position is that expert testimony must be limited to the scope of the expert report in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26. Any opinion that exceeds the permissive scope of the expert's report should be objectionable and not allowed into evidence in the event the objection is sustained.
- 11. Cyntec's position is that no party should argue or present evidence to the jury regarding any issues of law or equity upon which the jury will not be making a determination.

B. Chilisin's Position

- 1. Whether Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, and 18 of the '312 Patent are invalid as anticipated and/or obvious under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103.
- 2. Whether Claims 9, 12, and 20 of the '037 Patent are invalid as anticipated and/or obvious under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103.
- 3. Whether Claims 2, 3, and 5 of the '580 Patent are invalid as anticipated and/or obvious under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103.

7

1011

12 13

14

1516

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

- 4. Whether Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, and 18 of the '312 Patent are invalid as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 5. Whether Claims 9, 12, and 20 of the '037 Patent are invalid as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 6. Whether Claims 2, 3, and 5 of the '580 Patent are invalid as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 7. Whether Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, and 18 of the '312 Patent are invalid for lack of enablement under 35 U.S.C. § 112
- 8. Whether Claims 9, 12, and 20 of the '037 Patent are invalid for lack of enablement under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 9. Whether Claims 2, 3, and 5 of the '580 Patent are invalid for lack of enablement under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 10. Whether Chilisin is entitled to a judgment and order that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding Chilisin its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

VII. STATEMENT OF WHETHER BIFURCATION OR A SEPARATE TRIAL OF SPECIFIC ISSUES IS FEASIBLE AND DESIRED

Cyntec requests bifurcation as to indefiniteness, inequitable conduct, unclean hands, and any other equitable defenses Chilisin asserts from the jury trial because they are issues for the Court to decide, and further that neither side should offer evidence or argument at trial as to these issues. The requested bifurcation will simplify and shorten the jury trial, use judicial resources most efficiently, and minimize jury confusion and prejudice. Cyntec also requests that its request for a permanent injunction be addressed through post-trial briefing as appropriate.²

² As noted in Cyntec's motions *in limine*, Cyntec believes that the Court has already resolved indefiniteness as to the '037 and '312 Patents. Chilisin should not be permitted to raise this defense as to these patents at trial. Cyntec also believes that Chilisin has either abandoned or, at a minimum failed to adequately plead, inequitable conduct and that this defense should also not be included in the trial.

1

45

6 7

9

8

11

12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

26

25

2728

Chilisin does not object to bifurcation as to inequitable conduct, unclean hands, or other equitable defenses.³ Chilisin also agrees that arguments and evidence that <u>solely</u> relate to such issues should not be offered into evidence at trial. However, arguments and facts that may be relevant to both equitable issues and factual issues for the jury should not be precluded.

Chilisin does not agree that indefiniteness should be bifurcated. The Federal Circuit has repeatedly held that where the question of indefiniteness is factual in nature, as it is in this case, it is properly a question for the jury.⁴ The primary question in this case is whether a person of skill in the art would have understood, with reasonable certainty, the relationship between the claimed hardness difference and the lower formation temperature. While the Court denied Chilisin's motion for summary judgment, that ruling does not preclude the presentation of evidence to the jury on this issue.

Chilisin does not object to addressing a request for a permanent injunction through post-trial briefing.

VIII. STATEMENT SUMMARIZING THE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AND INDICATING WHETHER FURTHER NEGOTIATIONS ARE LIKELY TO BE PRODUCTIVE

The parties participated in a settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Elizabeth Laporte on March 11, 2019 (Dkt. 73), and held three private, in-person meetings to discuss settlement. On April 7 and 8, 2021, the parties held a further settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Virginia DeMarchi, but were unable to reach a settlement. The parties jointly informed Magistrate DeMarchi on June 25, 2021 that they do not believe a further settlement conference would be effective.

³ For the first time, Cyntec asserts that Chilisin has not adequately pled or otherwise abandoned its inequitable conduct defense. However, Chilisin did properly plead inequitable conduct in its Answer (*see* Dkt. 15 at 9-11, Tenth Affirmative Defense, and Dkt. 16 at 9-11, Twelfth Affirmative Defense), and Cyntec never challenged that pleading nor moved for Summary Judgment on the issue.

⁴ See e.g., Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc. v. Arctic Cat Inc., 785 F. App'x 858, 867 (Fed. Cir. 2019); BJ Servs. Co. v. Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc., 338 F.3d 1368, 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2003) ("Like enablement, definiteness, too, is amenable to resolution by the jury where the issues are factual in nature."). Relatedly, a "question about the state of the knowledge of a skilled artisan is a question of fact." Dow Chem. Co. v. Nova Chems. Corp. (Canada), 809 F.3d 1223, 1225 (Fed. Cir. 2015).

	Case 3:18-cv-00939-TLT	Document 198	Filed 07/01/21	Page 12 of 16
1	Dated: July 1, 2021		WILSON SONSIN ROSATI, Professi	
2				
3			By: <u>/s/ James C.</u> James C. Yo	on
4			Attorneys for Plain	ntiff Cyntec Co., Ltd.
5 6	Dated: July 1, 2021		STEPTOE & JOH	NSON LLP
7	Batea: vary 1, 2021			
			By: <u>/s/ John L. Al</u> John L. Abra	
8			Attornove for Defe	endants Chilisin Electronics
9			Corp. and Chilisin	
11		Signatura	Attestation	
12	In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this			
13				
14			By: /s/ James C.	
15			James C. Yo	oon
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
_0				
	JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT	_	_11_	CASE No. 4:18-cv-00939-PJH

APPENDIX A LIST OF ACCUSED PRODUCTS

2

1

IX. PRODUCTS ACCUSED OF INFRINGING THE '312 AND '037 PATENTS 3 HEI160808A-R47M-Q8 HEI252012A-R33M-Q8RBP 4 HEI160808A-1R0M-Q8 HEI252012A-R47M-Q8 HEI160808A-1R5M-Q8FU HEI252012A-R47M-Q8A 5 HEI160808A-R33M-Q8 HEI252012A-R68M-Q8 6 HEI201208A-R24M-Q8 HEI252012A-R82M-Q8A HEI201208A-1R0M-Q8DE HEI322510A-R24M-Q8 7 HEI201208A-R47M-Q8 HEI322510A-1R0M-Q8 8 HEI201210A-1R0M-Q8 HEI322510A-1R5M-Q8 HEI201210A-1R0M-QE HEI322510A-2R2M-Q8 9 HEI201210A-1R5M-Q8 HEI322510A-R47M-Q8 HEI322512A-1R0M-Q8 HEI201210A-2R2M-Q8 10 HEI201210A-R10N-Q7HDH HEI322512A-100M-Q8 11 HEI322512A-1R5M-Q8 HEI201210A-R24M-Q8 HEI322512A-2R2M-Q8 HEI201210A-R47M-Q8 12 HEI322512A-2R2M-Q8R HEI201608A-1R0M-Q8 HEI201608A-1R5M-Q8 HEI322512A-R24M-Q8EJ 13 HEI201608A-R47M-Q8 HEI322512A-R47M-Q8 14 HEI322512A-R68M-Q8EJ HEI201610A-1R0M-Q8 HEI201610A-1R5M-Q8 HEI322525A-1R0M-Q8 15 HEI201610A-2R2M-Q8 HEI322525A-1R5M-Q8 16 HEI201610A-R24M-Q8 HEI322525A-2R2M-Q8 HEI322525A-4R7M-Q8DH HEI201610A-R33M-Q8 17 HPPC04020-2R2M-Q8 HEI201610A-R47M-Q8 HEI201610A-R68M-Q8 HPPC04020-1R0M-Q8 18 HEI252010A-1R0M-Q8 HPPC04020-3R3M-Q8 19 HEI252010A-1R5M-Q8 HPPC04020-4R7M-Q8 HEI252010A-2R2M-Q8 HPPC05030-2R2M-Q8 20 HEI252010A-2R2M-Q8A HPPC05030-1R0M-Q8 HPPC05030-1R0M-Q8DH HEI252010A-R24M-Q8 21 HEI252010A-R33M-Q8 HPPC05030-1R0M-Q8EH 22 HEI252010A-R47M-Q8 HPPC05030-1R0M-Q8BC HEI252010A-R68M-Q8 HPPC05030-1R5M-Q8 23 HEI252012A-1R0M-Q8 HPPC05030-2R2M-Q8EW HEI252012A-1R0M-Q8ADZ HPPC05030-2R2M-Q8 24 HEI252012A-1R0M-Q8RBP HPPC05030-3R3M-Q8DH 25 HEI252012A-1R2M-Q8DZ HPPC05030-4R7M-Q8 HEI252012A-1R5M-Q8 HPPC05030-R36M-O8 26 HEI252012A-2R2M-Q8 HPPC05030-R47M-Q8DH HEI252012A-4R7M-Q8 HPPC05030-R56M-Q8FF 27 HEI252012A-R22M-Q8RDZ HPPC05030-R75M-Q8EH 28 HEI252012A-R24M-Q8 HPPC06020-2R2M-Q8

JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT

HEI252012A-R33M-Q8

HPPC06020-R36M-Q8DH

- 1		
1	• HPPC06030-100M-Q8	 HEI201612B-R47M-AUDG
	• HPPC06030-100M-Q8JS	 HEI201612C-2R2M-AUDG
2	• HPPC06030-1R0M-Q8	 HEI201612C-1R0M-Q8EJ
3	• HPPC06030-1R0M-Q8DZ	 HEI201612D-R47M-Q8EJ
	• HPPC06030-1R0M-Q8TD	 HEI252010G-1R0M-Q8
4	• HPPC06030-1R5M-Q8	 HEI252010L-1R0M-Q8EJ
_	• HPPC06030-1R5M-Q8DA	 HEI252012S-1R0M-Q8JS
5	• HPPC06030-1R5M-Q8DZ	 HEI252012S-2R2M-Q8JS
6	• HPPC06030-1R5M-Q8EJ	 HEI322512B-1R5M-Q8
	• HPPC06030-2R2M-Q8	 HEI322512B-2R2M-Q8EJ
7	 HPPC06030-2R2M-Q8AJ 	 HEI322512B-R68M-Q8
8	 HPPC06030-2R2M-Q8BC 	 HEI322512Q-1R0M-Q8
	• HPPC10040-100M-Q8	 HEI322512Q-1R5M-Q8
9	• HPPC10040-100M-Q8GJ	 HEI322512Q-2R2M-Q8
10	• HPPC10040-1R0M-Q8	 HEI322512Q-2R2M-Q8A
10	• HPPC10040-1R0M-Q8DZ	 HEI322512Q-R47M-Q8
11	• HPPC10040-1R0M-Q8DH	 HEI322513A-R33M-Q8
	• HPPC10040-2R2M-Q8DH	 HEI322515Q-2R2M-Q8
12	• HPPC10040-2R2M-Q8TD	 HEI322515Q-R47M-Q8
13	• HPPC10040-3R3M-Q8	 HEI322520B-1R0M-Q8DH
13	• HPPC10040-4R7M-Q8	 HEI322520B-1R5M-Q8DH
14	• HPPC10040-4R7M-Q8TD	• HEI322520B-2R2M-Q8DH
1.5	• HPPC10040-6R8M-Q8	• HEIL201610A-1R0M-Q8
15	• HPPC10040-R22M-Q8DF	• HEIL201610A-1R5M-Q8
16	• HPPC10040-R22M-Q8DZ	• HEIL201610A-2R2M-Q8
	• HPPC10040-R33M-Q7DF	• HEIL201610U-1R0M-Q8AS
17	• BDHE-F252012R2MQA	• HEIL201610U-2R2M-Q8AS
18	• DMI110908-R30L-N	• HEIL201610U-R47M-Q8AS
10	• DMI110908-R40L-N	• HEIL252010A-1R0M-Q8
19	• EHEI201608A-1R0M-Q8	• HEIL252010A-2R2M-Q8
20	EHEI201608A-1R0M-Q7EHEI252010A-1R0M-Q8	• HEIL252010A-R24M-Q8
20	EDD C0 5020 1D 014 00	HEIL252010A-R33M-Q8HEIL252010A-R47M-Q8
21	EPPC05030-1R0M-Q8HEI160808B-1R0M-Q8DG	HEIL252010A-R4/M-Q8HEIL252010A-R68M-Q8
	• HEI160808B-1R0M-Q8EJ	 HEIL252010A-R06M-Q8 HEIL252012A-1R0M-Q8
22	• HEI160808B-2R2M-Q8DG	 HEIL252012A-1R0M-Q8 HEIL252012A-2R2M-Q8
23	• HEI160808B-R47M-Q8DG	 HEIL252012A-2k2M-Q8 HEIL252012A-R68M-Q8
	• HEI160810B-1R0M-Q8EJ	 HHEI201207B-R47M-QMDE
24	• HEI201210B-1R0M-QMEJ	 HHEI201208A-1R0M-Q8R
25	• HEI201210B-R24M-Q8	 HHEI201208A-1R5M-Q8RHC
25	• HEI201612A-1R0M-Q8	 HHEI201208A-2R2M-Q8RHU
26	• HEI201612A-1R2M-Q8DZ	 HHEI201208A-R47M-Q8R
	• HEI201612A-1R5M-Q8DZ	 HHEI201208A-R47M-QE
27	• HEI201612A-2R2M-Q8DZ	• HHEI201208A-R47M-QN
28	• HEI201612A-4R7M-Q8RDE	• HHEI201208F-R47M-QM
	• HFI201612B-1R0M-AII	• HHFI201610A-R47M-O7H

1	• HHEI201610A-R68M-Q7HEJ	 HPPC12020-2R2M-Q8EZ
	• HHEI252010A-1R0M-Q8	 HPPC12060-2R2M-Q8-F
2	• HHEI252010A-2R2M-DA-F	 HPPC12065-R80M-Q8
3	• HHEI252010A-2R2M-QBFD	 HPPC120840-R47M-Q8
	• HHEI252012A-1R0M-QM-F	 HPPC120840-R47M-Q8DF
4	• HHEI252012A-R22M-Q8RAU	 LPP201208T-1R0M
5	 HPPC04019-2R2M-Q8 	 LPP201208T-R47M
3	• HPPC05024E-R47M-Q8EJ	 LPP201208T-R47M-J
6	• HPPC06024-2R7M-Q8EJ	 LPP201210T-1R0M-1
_	• HPPC06024-R15M-Q8	 LPP201210T-R47M
7	• HPPC06024-R15M-Q8EZ	 LPP201608T-1R0M
8	• HPPC06024-R18M-Q8EZ	• LPP201608T-1R0M-1
	• HPPC06024-R22M-Q8A2R17	• LPP201608T-R47M
9	• HPPC06024-R47M-Q8DH	• LPP201610T-1R0M
10	• HPPC06024-R47M-Q8EJ	• LPP201610T-1R0M-1
10	• HPPC06025-100M-Q8AS	• LPP201610T-2R2M
11	• HPPC06040-2R2M-Q8	• LPP201610T-2R2M-1
12	• HPPC06040-1R0M-Q8DH	• LPP201610T-R24M
12	• HPPC06040-R36M-Q8	• LPP201610T-R47M
13	HPPC06040-R47M-Q8HPPC08020-R12M-Q8EZ	LPP252010T-1R0M-1LPP252010T-1R0M-2
	HPPC08020-R12M-Q8EZHPPC08040B-R22M-Q8	 LPP2320101-1R0M-2 LPP252010T-1R0M-3
14	• HPPC08040B-R22M-Q8BDF	LFP2320101-1R0N-3LPP252010T-1R0N-1
15	• HPPC08040B-R22M-Q8DF	• LPP252010T-1R5M-1
	• HPPC08040B-R24M-Q8	 LPP252010T-1RSM-1 LPP252010T-2R2M-1
16	• HPPC08040B-R47M-Q8	 LPP252010T-R47M-1
17	• HPPC08040B-R47M-Q8DF	 LPP252010T-R47M-U
1 /	• HPPC08040B-R47M-Q8GJ	• LPP252012T-1R0M-2
18	• HPPC08040B-R68M-Q8DF	• LPP252012T-R47M-2
10	• HPPC08050B-R22M-Q8DF	 LPPS201610T-R33M
19	• HPPC08050B-R22M-Q8BDF	 MHCB05024E-1R0M-QREJ
20	• HPPC10020-1R5M-Q8	 MHCB05024E-R22M-QREJ
_	• HPPC10020-1R0M-Q8A	 MHCB05024E-R68M-QREJ
21	• HPPC10020-2R2M-Q8	 MHCB08030-R15M-C1EJ
22	• HPPC10020-2R2M-Q8L	 MHCH201610A-1R0M-Q8
	 HPPC10030-2R2M-Q8EZ 	 MHCH201610A-1R5M-Q8
23	 HPPC10030-R22M-Q8ADF 	 MHCH201610A-2R2M-Q8
24	• HPPC10030-R22M-Q8DF	 MHCH201610A-2R2M-Q8A
24	• HPPC10030-R22M-Q8EZ	 MHCH201610A-R24M-Q8
25	• HPPC10030-R36M-Q8G1R1	• MHCH201610A-R33M-Q8
26	• HPPC10050-3R3M-Q8	• MHCH201610A-R47M-Q8
26	• HPPC10050-3R3M-Q8EJ	• MHCH201610A-R68M-Q8
27	• HPPC10050-3R3M-Q8GJ	• MHCH252010A-1R0M-Q8
	• HPPC10060-220M-Q8JS	• MHCH252010A-1R5M-Q8
28	• HPPC10060-220M-Q8AJS	• MHCH252010A-2R2M-Q8
	• HPPC12020-1R2M-Q8EZ	 MHCH252010A-3R3M-Q8-F

	I			
1	•	MHCH252010A-R22M-Q8	•	MHCHL201610U-2R2M-Q8AS
2	•	MHCH252010A-R24M-Q8	•	MHCHL201610U-R47M-Q8AS
		MHCH252010A-R33M-Q8 MHCH252010A-R47M-Q8	•	MHCHL252010A-1R0M-Q8 MHCHL252010A-1R5M-Q8
3		MHCH252010A-R47M-Q8	•	MHCHL252010A-1R5M-Q8
4	•	MHCH252012A-1R0M-Q8	•	MHCHL252010A-R24M-Q8
_	•	MHCH252012A-1R5M-Q8	•	MHCHL252010A-R33M-Q8
5	•	MHCH252012A-2R2M-Q8	•	MHCHL252010A-R47M-Q8
6	•	MHCH252012A-R22M-Q8	•	MHCHL252012M-1R0M-Q8
_	•	MHCH252012A-R24M-Q8	•	UHEI201206A-1R0M-Q9DE
7	•	MHCH252012A-R33M-Q8	•	UHEI201208B-1R0M-Q9
8	•	MHCH252012A-R47M-Q8	•	UHEI201608A-1R0M-Q9DZ
	•	MHCHL201610A-1R0M-Q8	•	UHEI201608A-4R7M-Q9
9	•	MHCHL201610A-1R5M-Q7	•	UHEI201608A-R47M-Q9
10	•	MHCHL201610A-1R5M-Q8 MHCHL201610A-2R2M-Q8	•	UHEI201610A-1R0M-Q9 UHEI201610A-R47M-Q9
.		MHCHL201610A-R24M-Q8	•	UHEI201610B-1R0M-Q9
11	•	MHCHL201610A-R33M-Q8	•	UHEI252010A-1R0M-Q9
12	•	MHCHL201610A-R47M-Q8	•	UHEI252010A-R47M-Q9
	•	MHCHL201610A-R68M-Q8	•	UHEI252012A-1R5M-Q9
13	•	MHCHL201610C-1R0M-Q8	•	UHEI252012A-R47M-Q9
14	•	MHCHL201610C-2R2M-Q8	•	UHH201612A-1R0M-Q8DZ
	•	MHCHL201610U-1R0M-Q8AS	•	UHH201612A-1R2M-Q8DZ
15	X.	DDODUCTS ACCUSED OF INEDIA	CINC TIII	7 200 DATENT
16	Λ.	PRODUCTS ACCUSED OF INFRING	JING I III	E SOU PATENT
17	•	BWVF00252012/LVF252A12		
1/	•	LVH252A12		
18	•	LVT252A12		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				